IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 101 OF 2007

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2918 OF 2004

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2918 OF 2004

Vaijnath Verma.... .... Applicant.
Versus
Ramesh Daryani & Ors.... ... Respondents.

Ms. Vaishali Chaudhari for the Applicant.
Ms.Madhubala Kajle, AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.
Mr. A.R.Dhanuka for Respondent No.4.

CORAM : SWATANTER KUMAR, C.J. &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

DATED : 14TH AUGUST, 2007.

P.C.:

Learned Counsel appearing for the parties have filed on record the consent terms agreed between the applicant / petitioner and respondent nos.4 to 6. Along with the terms they have also filed the draft amendment. For the purpose of identification consented terms are marked "X".

2. The writ petition and the notice of motion both are disposed of in terms of the consented terms, which

will bind only the petitioner / applicant and respondent nos.4 to 6 without affecting the rights of respondent nos.1 to 3 in any way.

3. It is also stated that during the pendency of the proceedings the respondent no.1 has expired and respondent no.4 is the legal heir of late respondent no.1. The amendments as per the draft amendment handed in, be carried out within two weeks from today.

Sd/-

CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD,J.